



**Meeting of the Lake District National Park Partnership  
Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> February 2024 at 09:30-12:30 – LDNPA Boardroom, Murley Moss, Kendal  
(with Teams option)**

***DRAFT MINUTES***

| <b>Partner Name</b>   | <b>Organisation</b>                           | <b>Apologies received</b>        |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Celia Caulcott  | Independent Chair                             |                                  |
| Fran Richardson<br>Lorriane Smyth<br>(online)   | ACT   |                                  |
| Peter Frost-Pennington  | Business Task Force                           |                                  |
| Michael Barry<br>Markus Cambell-<br>Savours (online)  | Cumberland Council                            |                                  |
| Rachael Kelly   | Cumbria Association of Local Councils         | Sonia Hutchinson                 |
|   | Country Land & Business Association           | Lucinda Douglas<br>Robert Frewen |
| Carole Barr (online)  | Cumbria & Lakes Local Access Forum            |                                  |
| Jennifer Cormack  | Cumbria LEP                                   |                                  |
| Gill Haigh  | Cumbria Tourism                               |                                  |
| Steve Trotter   | Cumbria Wildlife Trust                        |                                  |
| Andy Brown<br>Ellyse Mather   | Environment Agency                            | Carol Holt                       |
| Kevin May<br>Keith Jones  | Forestry Commission/Forestry<br>England       | Mark Holroyd                     |
| Mike Hill   | Friends of the Lake District                  |                                  |
| Catherine Dewar   | Historic England                              |                                  |
| Sarah Swindley  | Lake District Foundation                      |                                  |
| Richard Leafe<br>Hanna Latty<br>Steve Ratcliffe<br>Tiffany Hunt<br>Tim Duckmanton<br>Eleanor Kingston<br>Andrew Herbert<br>Michael Carter | LDNPA<br><br><br><br><br><br><br>LDNPA Member |                                  |
| Veronica Fiorato<br>Adrian Anderson   | LDNPP   |                                  |
| Kerry Rennie  | Natural England                               |                                  |
| Jez Westgarth   | National Trust                                |                                  |
|   | National Farmers Union                        | James Airey                      |
| James Archer  | RSPB  | David Morris                     |
| Judith Derbyshire<br>Angela Jones   | Westmorland and Furness Council               |                                  |

|                                |                                    |                           |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Kevin Sayers                   | United Utilities                   |                           |
| Helen Manns                    | University of Cumbria              |                           |
| <b>Observers/Speakers</b>      | <b>Organisation</b>                | <b>Apologies received</b> |
|                                | Fresh Water Biological Association | Simon Johnson             |
| Jodie Mills                    | West Cumbria Rivers Trust          |                           |
| Claire Gould<br>Beth Middleton | Westmorland & Furness              |                           |

### 1. Welcome + housekeeping (Chair)

The Chair welcomed Partners to the meeting, and outlined the proposed agenda which included a 'deep dive' on the 2023 State of the Park (SOTP) report.

### 2. Collaboration Hub (Lorraine Smyth)

Lorraine outlined the ongoing work that has been undertaken on the Collaboration hub, which has been funded via Esmée Fairburn funding. Three groups have produced output:

- WCRT work with young people (artistic output)
- John Ruskin School (rap video)
- Cumbria Young Farmers (photo montage)

The Cumbria Youth Alliance has also been involved, and the source material for much of the output has been the LDNPP webpages.

Kevin May thought the art exhibition space at Grizedale could be used to exhibit some of the outputs from this project.

A rap video, produced by pupils at John Ruskin School, was played to Partners. It detailed, via the medium of rap, the young peoples' thoughts on the 2020-25 Partnership Plan and their ideas for future focus. The rap was well received by Partners.

**ACTION:** Share lyrics of the rap video with Partners, but rap video **NOT** to be shared until further editing work complete.

Partners had a brief discussion on how the Partnership could better engage with young people, in a meaningful way. This could range from inviting specific youth groups to meetings on specific topics, consideration of how they might have greater involvement in the development of the next Partnership Plan.

The Chair confirmed that a more in-depth conversation about these projects and next steps was proposed to take place at the July Partnership meeting.

**ACTION:** Consider an LDNPP 'deep dive' on youth engagement at a future meeting (July?)

### 3. Minutes/matters arising/Chair's announcements (Chair)

Partners approved the minutes from the 31<sup>st</sup> October LDNPP meeting.

The Chair announced the sad and sudden death of **Cllr Leith Hallatsch**. Many Partners had known Leith from Partnership meetings which he occasionally attended on behalf of CALC. Leith was also Mayor of Windermere and Bowness and the family have told the LDNPP that:

*'On the 10th of January 2024, very sadly, the Mayor of Windermere & Bowness Town Council, Leith Hallatsch, passed away. Leith was taken ill while chairing a council meeting at Langstone House and subsequently passed away at Lancaster Royal Infirmary. It is of comfort to his family that this happened while doing something he loved with his wife, fellow Councillor Christine Hallatsch, near at hand.'*

Further information about Leith from the family (with thanks to Rachael from CALC for this) will be shared with Partners in follow up from this meeting, and a card will be sent to his widow on behalf of the Partnership. Leith's funeral is taking place today, so it was felt appropriate to mark his passing and note his contribution to the Partnership.

The Chair also reminded Partners of the recent announcement that **Richard Leafe** (LDNPA) will be standing down as CEO later in 2024 once a successor has been appointed. The Partnership will mark his departure nearer the time, but will obviously work with him on a transition of his leadership of our Climate Action Key Outcome.

The Chair then outlined a proposal to Partners to **delay the development of the next Partnership Plan by one year**. This is to allow a greater focus on delivery of the current Plan (given the adoption and delivery was delayed by the Covid-19 pandemic). The Partnership also awaits guidance from government on various key documents, including guidance on management plans for protected landscapes and for World Heritage Sites, which would be useful to guide the new Plan. The proposal to delay by one year would mean the Partnership would be looking to work to deliver a new Plan for April 2026. A letter to Defra to inform them of this delay would also be required.

The proposed delay would be used to drive delivery forward on existing plan priorities and actions, the Plan Coordination Group has already embarking on an **Action Review**, supported by the Key Outcome Groups. This will look to prioritise actions and resources which can be delivered in the current Plan period, and the specific roles of KOGs and where their actions best sit will also be considered. KOGs will begin these conversations which will then be discussed and agreed at PCG in April before bringing them to the Partnership at its 30th April meeting.

The Chair also shared with Partners that the LDNPP is beginning to set up **task + finish groups** to support thinking on difficult topics - these will not involve all Partners but progress will be reported back to the Partnership. Early discussions, which it is hoped will lead to task + finish groups include around: nature recovery, visitor revenue contributions, and housing, but Partners can make suggestions for others to the Partnership Manager.

Various Partners spoke in support of this overall approach, and why they felt it clearly made sense. Lorraine felt it will be important to 'inform, involve and engage' with a wide range of stakeholders during the next Plan development. Gill Haigh felt caution was required on some task and finish group suggestions (notably those on visitor revenue which has had an initial exploratory session hosted by FLD and LDF – see below), where there may be differences of opinions between Partners.

**DECISION:** the Partnership agrees to the proposal to delay the next Plan by one year, as outlined above, and supports the Action Review.

### ***Break***

## **4. Deep Dive: State of the Park (SOTP) 2023**

The Chair briefly reminded Partners how the SOTP report is particularly relevant to the FFNC and CA Key Outcomes and whilst it does not cover every issue of concern in the Park there is clearly a relevance widely across the Plan. The report provides useful information to empower the FFNC/CA groups to take action, and feed into the Action Review. The Chair recognised that the report should help Partners determine where it can help on action related to the National Park's Special Qualities (SQs), and encouraged Partners to engage in constructive and creative conversations as part of the Deep Dive workshop to follow.

### **a. Introduction to our Deep Dive (Hanna Latty) – [see slides](#)**

Hanna presented an overview of the State of the Park report (what it does and does not cover), its development via data provided by Partners and some high-level observations on the results ([see slides](#)). Today the Partnership is only considering SOTP and what it shows for future Partnership Plan actions.

Hanna noted it was important to recognise that there are other pieces of evidence/reporting that will all feed into the next Plan - e.g. it is known there is a housing challenge, but this is not a SOTP indicator/concern – so this will not be considered in this session. It is important to not be complacent about the 7 SQs that are in 'good' condition, and the Partnership will consider what actions might be needed in due course for these as part of the Plan revision. Today the focus will be on the 6 moderate SQs.

### **b. Introduction to activity (Veronica Fiorato)**

Veronica outlined the workshop element of the session. For each 'moderate' Special Quality a number of issues/concerns have been identified that need action. These have been grouped by theme for discussion in groups, each with a facilitator:

- Farming (Hanna Latty)
- Water (Tim Duckmanton)
- Habitats, wildlife and climate (Andrew Herbert)
- Cultural heritage (Eleanor Kingston)

Partners were asked to consider which two themes they would like to discuss and go to the flip chart for their first theme.

### **c. Discussion at flipcharts (All facilitators) - [See captured output in Appendix 1 below](#)**

Flip charts with the themes were located in break-out areas for the 4 groups, with a list of the particular issues/concerns that SOTP has identified require further action. The facilitators asked their groups the following questions:

- Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership? [see action mapping against SQ issue; this was shared with Partners ahead]
- What more do we need to do?
- Will this move us from Moderate to Good?

The following additional questions were also considered (time allowing):

- What are the missing Plan actions? (gap analysis)
- How do we support the relevant KOG(s) to move this SQ from moderate to good?
- As a Partnership, do we need to consider further investment (time, collaboration, £ etc) and if so how/where?
- Are we using our Partnership collective voice adequately on this issue (e.g. lobbying)?
- Climate change is listed as a threat for most SQs. How do we adapt to climate change for these issues?)

Partners were asked which 3 key messages should be fed back to the wider meeting. Groups swapped to another facilitator after 15 minutes.

#### **d. Feedback Session (Chair)**

The Chair asked each facilitator to (briefly, due to time constraints) feed back the key messages from each of their two groups so that it can be understood whether there are missing Plan actions/activity (*see also full output in appendix 1 below*).

##### ***Water***

- political and environmental conditions have changed since the Plan was written means water is a higher priority
- water quality *and* quantity important
- Better adaptation/climate change reporting to inform the next Plan: understanding what is driving the changes?

##### ***Farming***

- more case studies and demonstrator projects needed, including farmer-to-farmer learning
- advice, risks and benefits of green finance as BPS goes down and green finance increases
- education of farming, cultural integrity – avoiding polarisation

##### ***Habitats, Wildlife and Climate***

- focus on delivery in SSSIs and High Fells, and the impact of climate change
- Important to share and coordinate data across the Lake District and Cumbrian community
- Nature needs to be joined with socio-economic and culture
- Ecosystem function of landscape restoration important
- Overall funding picture

### ***Cultural Landscape***

- communication and education: explaining what we are doing and why to residents and visitors
- how to inform people on rights of way, conservation area management plans
- activity of High Fells/Fix the Fells?
- need to explain what and why we are doing things to get funding
- how to get retrofitting of buildings right without loss of character and communicate that

#### **e. Next Steps and wrap up (Chair)**

The Chair thanked the facilitators and engagement from the groups, noting there had been lots of useful conversations and food for thought. The output will be typed up, and sense checked by the facilitators, before being shared with the Partnership for consideration of next steps.

## **5. Partnership business**

#### **a. Climate Action KOG update: business plan survey and Race to Zero (Richard Leafe) – see slides**

Richard outlined the UN's 'Race to Zero' initiative, which UK national parks have agreed to participate in. The 'Race to Zero' is a global campaign to mobilise leadership and support from businesses and other stakeholders for a healthy, resilient, zero-carbon recovery, which creates jobs, unlocks inclusive, sustainable growth, and reduces the risk of future shocks. Whilst it will be the LDNPA (not the Partnership itself) joining this programme, it is the LDNPP carbon budget that is the relevant metric for measuring progress.

Richard also highlighted the importance of Partners providing the Climate Change KOG with information relating to their carbon reduction progress, and asked those who have not done so to provide this to Tim Duckmanton as soon as possible.

The Chair welcomed the update and noted that given National Parks have huge amounts of natural capital they must be at the forefront of climate action.

**ACTION:** Partners who have not yet supplied information on carbon reduction to do so to Tim Duckmanton.

**ACTION:** Andy Brown (EA) noted the EA have recently made some changes to their climate change policy and these will be shared with the Climate Action KOG via follow up information. **COMPLETE**

#### **b. WHS update (Steve Ratcliffe)**

Steve gave a brief update on progress on the WHS interpretation strategy. He reiterated the need for case studies for the State of Conservation report to UNESCO (currently being prepared), and that Partners should be prepared to be approached by the WHS Coordinator (Mairi Lock) for these soon. A fairly quick turnaround will be needed for this.

**c. Feedback from Visitor Revenue Capture research meeting, 15 Jan 2024 (Keith Ashcroft and Mike Hill)**

Keith (LDF) outlined a meeting that had been facilitated by the LDF and FLD on 15<sup>th</sup> January, held at the LDNPA Boardroom. This was convened following the production of a draft report from FLD on ways of capturing revenue from visitors, as examined in various national and international examples (with varying degrees of applicability to the Lake District).

A number of Partners, largely with an economic/tourism focus, had been invited to attend. The session was designed to enable open conversation on an interesting topic where it is recognised different opinions exist across the Partnership. No specific recommendations have been made and FLD's report is intended as a prompt for a wider conversation.

Mike Hill (FLD) outlined why the FLD was looking into this topic. He recognised the strength of feeling on the issue and welcomed the honest and useful feedback that had been received on 15<sup>th</sup> (which will be used to refine the report). A number of Partners had made it clear that they felt the 'tax' burden on Lakes business was already high compared with other countries, and that the upcoming Destination Management Plan is useful collaborative vehicle to consider some of the challenges without imposing direct fees or levies. Some Partners wondered how additional costs could be compatible with the Lake District for Everyone theme.

The Chair welcomed the update, and noted comments from Partners that this may need to become a wider conversation to ensure inclusivity across all Partners interested in the conversation.

**ACTION:** FLD Visitor Revenue Capture report to be shared with Partners once refined following feedback at the January session.

**d. Nature recovery**

The Chair reminded Partners of the importance of this area of work, with much activity ongoing in this space.

Tim Duckmanton (LDNPA) reminded Partners about the development of the Partnership's **Nature Recovery Delivery Plan**, thanking the wide range of Partners who have contributed to the document. The Plan has been agreed by the FFNC Key Outcome Group and was being brought to the Partnership to request its support and sign-off. This will allow the Partnership to be more strategic and focused in its nature recovery work going forward. *(see slides for further detail)*

**DECISION:** The Partnership signed-off the Nature Recovery Delivery Plan.

Claire Gould (W&F) presented on the **Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS)** – *see slides for further details*. Claire briefly outlined the risks identified in the LNRS, but asked if Partners had further questions to contact her as she had to leave the meeting at that point.

Andrew Herbert (LDNPA) gave an update on the **30x30** government policy (adoption of global target to protect and manage at least 30% of land and sea for

nature by 2030), the rationale behind this, the DEFRA approach and local implications in the Lake District (*see slides for further detail*). Jez Westgarth (Chair of FFNC) highlighted the emerging landscape recovery pilots (involving farming **AND** forestry), which if mapped across Cumbria go a long way to achieving the 30x 30 objectives.

## 6. AOB & Close (Chair)

The Chair thanked all the contributions to the meeting. The meeting had been very well attended and the deep dive workshop on State of the Park very useful.

Veronica Fiorato reminded Partners that had not already done so to complete the **LDNPP Resources Questionnaire** (deadline extended to 22<sup>nd</sup> Feb).

Steve Ratcliffe advised Partners that there would be a consultation/stakeholder engagement event (hosted by Urban Splash) on the highways elements of the Windermere Gateway Project (5<sup>th</sup> March). Further details are online.

**REMINDER:** Next Partnership Meeting: **30th April, site visit (time and venue tbc)**

## Water (Facilitator: Tim Duckmanton)

### Issues/concerns from State of the Park report

1. Water quality/environment improvements needed
2. Reduction in nutrient levels for the benefits of habitats and wildlife

### Questions asked of the group:

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership? [see action mapping against SQ issue; this was shared with Partners ahead]
2. What more do we need to do?
3. Will this move us from Moderate to Good?

### **Water (group 1)**

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership?

Answer: No

2. What more do we need to do?

Answer:

Improve coordination of investment

Coordination of governance

Integrating, joining up, interdependencies to optimise/maximise action input

Improve stakeholder awareness and engagement to increase understanding and support delivery

Actions:

- Require more resources/funding
  - Joining up/aligning plans across the water theme
  - Knowing how much water is coming from the system, and adapting to it
  - Understanding what is happening now and improving the communication of these e.g. river restoration
  - lack of visible leadership from Love Windermere
  - Mismatch of public understanding of pace and what we can deliver
  - Choose to aggregate our plans/resources ('swarming our resources')
  - Accelerating adaptation actions to respond to our knowledge that we will exceed 1.5 celsius increase
  - Prepare climate change adaptation risk assessment
  - Opportunity if new plan for nature recovery from LNRS
  - must have traction for delivery that is adaptable
- 

### **Water (group 2)**

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership?

Answer: No

2. What more do we need to do?

Answer:

- We may do enough in some places but not everywhere
- The political changes around water has increased
- Plan does not include quantity, focused on quality
- It needs to recognise catchment and where water is
- Sewage needs to be addressed for both sources (point and networked)

- awareness raising 'one for nature'
  - NT community about water quality with tenants
  - Improving civic pride
  - Aligning resources to delivery to address sewage issues
  - Management of point source pollution
  - Hold all feet to the fire to deliver commitment
  - Use national water quality conversation and work with it to make a difference
  - Understand the biggest risk to SQ, + make decision to focus on
  - Require climate change adaptation awareness and manage adaptively
  - Engagement with people via access to water opportunities (erosion issues)
  - Need to include water quality and quantity
- 

## Farming (Facilitator: Hanna Latty)

### Issues/concerns from State of the Park report

1. impact of the reduction in the Basic Payments Scheme on farms
2. Decrease in hefted flocks on commons
3. Decrease in land in Agri-environment schemes (meaning less £ for environmental benefits)

### Questions asked of the group:

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership? [see action mapping against SQ issue; this was shared with Partners ahead]
2. What more do we need to do?
3. Will this move us from Moderate to Good?

### **Farming (group 1)**

- Our uplands commons project – carbon – understanding and spreading this knowledge
  - More case studies to encourage more action and demonstrate what can be achieved.
  - Sharing more of Farming in Protected Landscapes programme (FiPL) good news i.e. West Lakes CIC
  - Link to action 1 to last bullet about nature finance; the link between advice and green finance
  - Capture innovation and ask where is the next place for this to happen
  - Where do we have good projects and where do we seed activity – and map this to Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS)
  - Join up £ opportunities
  - Farm advice + quality is fragmented – not obvious how to address this in timescales required
  - Hard to reach farmers – national FiPL project may help
  - Addressing nature impacts of deer + viability of venison
  - Hefted flocks – do they need to be as big?
  - Lack of understanding about the evolution of farming and what evolution will look like
- 

### **Farming (group 2)**

- Shepherds' Guide – it provides an evidence base but will it shift the dial?

- Balance Landscape Recovery Pilots + smaller hefts
  - The support isn't enough to address viability
  - Are we doing enough to lead the farming community to benefit from green finance? Are we facilitating this learning? To flatten curve
  - Why is there a decline in uptake of Agri schemes? Why are the schemes not attractive to farmers? Is it some types of farms that are missing out?
  - Case studies/demonstrator projects that can be taken forward on a farmer-to-farmer approach, identifying the 'sweet spot' farms that demonstrate evolution and combine culture/nature/viability
  - Farming is not one thing
  - What % of farms are in schemes + establish why decline?
  - Risk + benefits of green finance to Lakeland farming
  - Joined up of £ opportunities
  - Targeting the right farms to make greatest nature interventions (LNRS delivery)
  - Are we mapping the opportunities for green finance against the farming structure? To help towards the patchwork of £ opportunities
  - Is there an issue with hearts and minds of farming? Forest/Woodland planting grants are huge! Why is take-up not high enough?
  - More to avoid the polarisation image – links to understanding how farming will evolve whilst protecting cultural integrity
  - There is a lack of good advice
- 

## Habitats, wildlife and climate (Facilitator: Andrew Herbert)

### Issues/concerns from State of the Park report

1. Lack of up to date/available data hampering understanding and progress to improve habitats and wildlife
2. Decrease in the favourable condition of SSSIs
3. Climate change and impact monitoring, particularly on habitats, wildlife, and the cultural landscape
4. Increased activity needed to improve the condition of the High Fells and deliver for nature
5. More peat restoration needed

### Questions asked of the group:

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership? [see action mapping against SQ issue; this was shared with Partners ahead]
2. What more do we need to do?
3. Will this move us from Moderate to Good?

### **Habitats, wildlife and climate (group 1)**

- Q1 have we got right actions - More or less
- We need better High Fells data
- Some forestry SSSI improvement
- Need local ground-based data
- What is preventing further recovery on high fells?
- Will Agri-environment scheme money be enough, and sustain cultural landscape?

- Designated sites should be more dynamic
  - Science problem in social context
  - Reliant on public funding for nature first. Need more private £
  - FFNC KOG will do more work on nature actions. Build in climate future proofing
  - 
  - 3 key messages for the LDNP Partnership:
  - Not sure we do have the right set of actions
  - Data is good enough
  - Focus on issues 2, 3, and 4. Use examples of best practice
- 

### **Habitats, wildlife and climate (group 2)**

- Sharing data
  - Role of LNRS – Cumbria Strategy
  - How do these interact with other issues to develop investment propositions?
  - How do we share data better?
  - We need to have and use forecasting data for climate, LNRS should give us the mapping, LNRS has already mapped current delivery
  - Water is not present in habitats + wildlife words, and plan actions
  - Need convergence of language
  - Use Cumbria Biodiversity Data Centre more
  - Join with cultural landscape data
  - Combining Natural Flood Management with World Heritage Site
  - Look beyond the National Park boundaries
  - Share resources and ownership better
  - 
  - 3 key messages for the LDNP Partnership:
  - Coordinate and share data, people, resources, cash
  - Join up nature with wider issues: economic, cultural
  - More of a push on peatland restoration and ecosystem function of all habitats
- 

## **Cultural Landscape (Facilitator: Eleanor Kingston)**

### **Issues/concerns from State of the Park report**

1. Erosion of important traditional building features through change of use and energy performance adaptations
2. PROW maintenance and fell erosion management
3. 83% of Conservation Area management plans are more than 10 years old
4. Increased activity on the High Fells needed for social, economic and cultural objectives

### **Questions asked of the group:**

1. Have we identified the right Plan actions to address each issue/concern as a Partnership? [see action mapping against SQ issue; this was shared with Partners ahead]
2. What more do we need to do?
3. Will this move us from Moderate to Good?

### **Culture (groups 1 & 2 combined)**

Issue 1: Loss of traditional building character

- Concern for loss of character – in particular non-listed buildings
- embodied carbon in historic buildings – important for retro fitting
- Should use advice and guidance available from Historic England
- Emphasise the joined-up economy – traditional skills/local crafts-people/money into local shops/businesses (importance for local economy)
- will lobbying to reduce VAT work? Answer from the room was no, so we should focus on retrofitting and getting that right
- myth-busting that you can't alter/retrofit or change historic buildings – get trusted advice with case studies available (guidance documents)

Issue 2: PROW and Fix the Fells

- There is no ring-fenced funding for access
- We must be clear to government to get funding to carry out Rights of Way work
- Can we use visitor income to maintain access?

Issue 3: Conservation Areas

- Need to communicate their significance to people/visitors
- need to check management plans are still relevant, then prioritise actions
- ensure actions are carried out
- ensure management plans are meaningful/used actively rather than sitting on a shelf
- issues with permitted development rights that can impact on integrity of conservation areas
- Need to harness collective ownership and community pride in conservation areas to generate action

Issue 4: Activity on the High Fells

- Landscape Recovery Projects an excellent tool to deliver social, economic and cultural objectives but need to fund historic landscape within them and involve at early stage)
- need surveys so you know what you have to enable/shape nature recovery works. Need professional skills for this.
- how do people know what is important?
- using cultural landscape to inform nature recovery
- enable farmers to adapt to current circumstances

Resources came across as a major issue for this theme.

- Possibility to integrate with climate/water issues and show how can help to deliver in this area. How to generate new income streams?
  - Education/communication - need to look at how we improve education and communication about these topics
  - funding Fix the Fells (can do it through Landscape Recovery Schemes)
- 

**General list of acronyms used at Partnership meetings:**

|       |                                       |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| AES   | Agri-Environment Schemes              |
| BA    | Breakthrough Actions                  |
| BDUK  | Broadband Delivery UK                 |
| BTF   | Business Task Force                   |
| CAP   | Common Agricultural Policy            |
| CLS   | Carbon Landscapes Scheme              |
| CHF   | Community Housing Fund                |
| CLT   | Community Land Trusts                 |
| CLH   | Community-led Housing                 |
| CW    | Cumbria Woodlands                     |
| CWT   | Cumbria Wildlife Trust                |
| FC    | Forestry Commission                   |
| FLD   | Friends of the Lake District          |
| FTA   | Free Trade Agreement                  |
| FTTP  | Fibre to the premises                 |
| FTE   | Full time equivalent                  |
| GS    | Gain Share                            |
| HLS   | Higher Level Stewardship              |
| LCA   | Landscape Character Assessment        |
| LDNPA | Lake District National Park Authority |
| LEP   | Local Enterprise Partnership          |
| NCA   | National Character Area               |
| NE    | Natural England                       |
| NERC  | Natural Environment Research Council  |
| NFM   | Natural Flood Management              |
| NFU   | National Farmers Union                |
| NT    | National Trust                        |
| SOTP  | State of The Park Report              |
| SSSI  | Site of Special Scientific Interest   |
| TAG   | Technical Advisory Group              |
| TOR   | Terms of reference                    |
| TVGM  | Tactical Visitor Management Group     |
| UU    | United Utilities                      |